Alaska Regional Service Committee of NA - WSC 2012 CAR Voting Form

Area: __AK_____ Group: __Talley of votes__ GSR: _____

Motions—Decisions about Actions to be Taken Now

1—To approve the book *Living Clean: The Journey Continues* contained in Addendum B.

IHT IHT III

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

2—To allow the World Board to make non-substantive corrections to Fellowship-approved literature which do not impact the original meaning of the Fellowship-approved text and which fix typographical errors, obsolete references, references to outdated literature, and other similar corrections. (Announced in Advance)

IHT IHT III

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

3—To allow the World Board to bundle, excerpt, and repackage Fellowship-approved literature without changes to the texts themselves. (Announced in Advance)

IHT IHT   /   /

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

4—To allow the World Board the ability to create and approve enhanced electronic or digital versions of texts that include supplemental materials or connections to other NA materials. (Announced in Advance)

IHT IHT III

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

5—To hold the World Convention of NA every three years, beginning 2018, alternating North American and non-North American locations.

IHT IIII   IIII

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

Resolutions—Binding on Future Work (Service System)

1—Our service efforts will be carried out through a system that includes structure, process, people, and resources.

IHT IHT   /   //

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

2—The service system is group-focused and includes a local-level body dedicated exclusively to addressing group concerns.

IHT IHT   //   /

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

3—Training and mentoring of trusted servants are essential functions of the service system.

IHT IHT I   /   /

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

Area: _____ Group: _____ GSR: _____

4—Service bodies are purpose- and vision-driven.

LHT LHT / //

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

5—Service bodies work together to utilize planning processes to organize and coordinathe their efforts.

LHT LHT / //

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

6—Service bodies make decisions by consensus.

LHT IIII // //

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

7—The service structure includes local service bodies, state/nation/province service bodies, and intermediate bodies if needed. Service bodies follow established geographic boundaries. They are not self-determined, but are formed, based on need, through a collaborative planning process and agreement with other affected service bodies at the next level of service.

LHT IIII // //

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

8—State/national/province boundaries are the primary criterion for seating consideration at the Wor Service Conference.

LHT IIII // //

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

Straw Polls—Guide Future Work (Service System)

A—There is a small, neighborhood-sized body devoted to group needs. This group forum, which is typically not part of the delegation stream, is informal in nature and operates through conversation not formal decision making. (See page 56 for a detailed explanation of the body devoted to group support.)

LHT IIII III /

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

B—Groups send a delegate quarterly to a local service planning meeting. One of those quarterly meetings is a general assembly where all interested members are encouraged to attend and input is given to help plan service activities for the cycle. (See page 61 for a detailed explanation of the local service body.)

LHT IIII III /

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

C—Services are coordinated by a local service board and carried out by members, committees, and project workgroups who report to that board.

LHT LHT // /

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

Area: _____ Group: _____ GSR: _____

D—Local service bodies follow county, city, or town boundaries, where practical. (They are much larger than the group forums mentioned above and in many cases larger than the current ASCs.)

LHT IIII   *II*   *II*

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

E—The boundaries of those local service bodies are agreed to at the state or national level.

LHT IIII   *II*   *II*

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

F—Planning cycles are synchronized from level to level (local to state to global) as well as across each level.

LHT III   *II*   *III*

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

G—When service needs cannot be accomplished effectively by local service bodies and state/national/province bodies, an intermediate level of service can be added. (See page 64 for a detailed explanation of an intermediate service body.)

LHT I   *II*   *LHT*

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

H—Most states, provinces, or countries have one state-, province- or nationwide service body that is responsible for state- or national-level public relations and coordinating efforts such as training across local service bodies. (See page 67 for a detailed explanation of state-, province-, and nationwide service bodies.)

LHT III   *II*   *III*

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

I—Zonal boundaries are decided through a collaborative process with neighboring NA communities, other zones, and the WSC.

LHT II   *III*   *III*

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

Area: _____ Group: _____ GSR: _____

Proposals—Ideas from Regions for Study and Consideration

A—Carolina Region: For the Fellowship of NA and the WSC to consider a proposal for the creation of Written Service Material dedicated to assisting the members of NA as a whole on (1) how to actively participate in literature and publication projects as well as (2) to have resource material available in providing guidance for the development of Literature and Publication processes locally.

///   *LHT //*   *///*

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

B—Hawaii Region: To produce a book-length publication called 'The NA WAY: Celebration Edition' comprised of a compilation of articles published in the NA WAY 1982-2012.

LHT   *///*   *LHT*

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

C—Tejas Bluebonnet Region: For Board Approved Literature be clearly marked on front "Service Related Material" and not intended to be read during recovery meetings.

///   *////*   *LHT /*

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

D—South Brazil Region: That members who wish to receive the NA Way Magazine in hard copy format pay a subscription fee to cover the costs of printing and mailing.

LHT/   */*   *LHT*

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

E—Upper Midwest Region: Our idea is to revisit the discussion of the world board members no longer having voting status at the world service conference.

///   *///*   *LHT //*

☐ Yes (Support) ___ ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___ ☐ No Opinion ___

CAT Motions (Voted On at the WSC Business Meeting)

1—To approve the Fellowship Issue Discussions Project Plan for inclusion in the 2012–2014 larcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. Budget. Distribution of material in NAWS Publications and Workshops. This project focuses on framing fellowship-wide discussions on the 2012–2014 Issue Discussion Topics (IDTs)

₩₩ ₩₩ ₩₩ ₩₩ / //

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

2—To approve the Service System Project Plan for inclusion in the 2012–2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. Budget. This is the third cycle of this project whose focus is to develop options for a service system – the people, resources, processes, and structure – designed to best serve our worldwide fellowship in more effectively carrying the message.

₩₩ ₩₩ ₩₩ / //

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

3—To approve the Traditions Book Project Plan for inclusion in the 2012–2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. Budget. This project is planned for two cycles. The plans for 2012–2014 are to gather more information about the wide variety of ideas that our members have about this book, engage people through technology and workshops to gather information and experience, and to frame an outline for the book.

₩₩ ₩₩ ₩₩ /|

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

4—To approve the Public Relations Project plan for inclusion in the 2012–2014 Narcotics nonymous World Services, Inc. Budget. This project would enable us to conduct focus groups with specific professional populations in order to develop more effective strategies and improve our communication. This project was approved at WSC 2008, but we were unable to do any of this work due to human and financial constraints.

₩₩ ₩₩ / /

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

5—To approve the Introduction to NA Meetings Project Plan for inclusion in the 2012–2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. Budget. This project is designed to take the current Service Pamphlet, An Introduction to NA Meetings, and go through the necessary process to make this an IP.

₩₩ ₩₩ //

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

6—To approve the Trusted Servant Support and Development Project Plan for inclusion in the 2012–2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. Budget. This is a continuation of the discussions and focus that we have had for several cycles. This plan contains a range of ideas that could be developed in a "Basics" format, as we have done for translations, PR, and planning.

₩₩ ₩₩ / //

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

7—To adopt the 2012–2014 Narcotics Anonymous World Services, Inc. Budget.

₩₩ ₩₩ / ////

☐ Yes (Support) ___   ☐ No (Do Not Support) ___   ☐ No Opinion ___

8—Regional Seating Moratorium Discussion

₩₩   ___ Continue Moratorium; Present Solution in 2014 / ___ Discontinue Moratorium Effective 2014

₩///| ___ Continue Moratorium Indefinitely   / ___ Discontinue Moratorium Effective Now

// ___ NO OPINION